

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,855	10/10/2001	Yoshiaki Sugizaki	04329.2686 5564 EXAMINER	
7:	590 11/09/2004			
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow			IM, JUNGHWA M	
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washingon, DC 20005-3315			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/972,855	SUGIZAKI, YOSHIAKI				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Junghwa M. Im	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe						
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if						
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: FDDIF I FF						

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection grounds over the reference of Gurtler et al. for the final rejection are maintained. The Applicant main argument is that Gurtler does not disclose, in particular, the limitation of "... some of the first connecting terminals or the second connecting terminals are distributed and arranged substantially on an entire surface of the semiconductor chip, and a power source supply potential or ground potential is to be applied to said some of the first and second connecting terminals," and in detail, the Examiner has omitted "substantially on an entire surface of the semiconductor chip" from the analysis. Note that the instant invention discloses that connecting terminals (4-1, 4-2 in Fig. 24) are conductive interconnect bumps. In addition, the instant Application discloses that a power source supply potential or ground potential is to be applied to the through holes (3 or 5 in Fig. 25). With this understanding, Fig. 1 of Gurtler et al. clearly shows the first connecting terminals (36, 48) and the second connecting terminals (20) are distributed and arranged substantially on an entire surface of the semiconductor chip (21) and power source supply potential or ground potential (through interconnects 48, 50; col. 3, lines 39-43) is to be applied to said some of the first and second connecting terminals through conducting vias (28; col. 3, lines 9-16).